

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/661,295		POECHMUELLER, PETER		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Helen Rossoshek		2825		

All Participants:

(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.

(2) Mark Courtney (Registration No. 36,491).

Date of Interview: 23 June 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

31, 35, 39

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed an amendment to claims 31, 35 and 39 to clarify the subject matter of invention. Agreement was reached.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: N/A

A. M. Thompson
 Primary Examiner
 Technology Center 2800



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)